

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/691,325	10/18/2000	Hubert Vattement	11123.19US01	5206
23552 7	7590 04/22/2004		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903		TUCKER, PHILIP C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MININEAI OL	13, MIN 33402-0703		1712	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/691,325	VATTEMENT, HUBERT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip C Tucker	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 12-38 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-33 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,12-23 and 34-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and accomposite accomposite and accomposite accomposite and accomposite accomposit	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/691,325

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of I in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that It has not been shown that the search of all claims is a burden. This is not found persuasive because as noted in the restriction requirement, such inventions are classified in different classes and subclasses, and the search of all the inventions would be an extremely enormous burden upon the Patent Office. However, since there is some over lap of the search of inventions I and II, both have been examined herein. Invention III has not been examined in view of the restriction requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 13-20, 22, 23 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cowan (5343952).

Cowan teaches a cement composition comprising blast furnace slag in amounts as taught in column 13, lines 4-20, clay such as bentonite (column 5, lines 9-11), an

Application/Control Number: 09/691,325

Art Unit: 1712

activator in the amounts as taught in column 15, lines 4-28 and water. The Blaine surface area may be as low as 500 cm squared per gram, which would clearly have particle sizes within the scope of the present invention (column 6, lines 8-18). The ratios of components are within the scope of the calcium oxide/silicon oxide ratios of the claims, and within the scope of providing the same chemical modulus of the present invention (column 6, lines 19-24).

4. Claims 1, 3-8, 13, 14, 16-20, 22, 23, 34 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hale (5361842).

Hale teaches a cement composition comprising blast furnace slag in amounts as taught in column 28, lines 1-7, clay such as bentonite (column 18, lines 46-57), an activator in the amounts as taught in column 20, lines 15-40 and water. The Blaine surface area may be as low as 2000 cm squared per gram, which would clearly have particle sizes within the scope of the present invention (column 5, lines 15-21). The ratios of components are within the scope of the calcium oxide/silicon oxide ratios of the claims, and within the scope of providing the same chemical modulus of the present invention (column 5, lines 26-31).

5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 12-15, 18-21, 34, 35 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rae (5447197).

Rae teaches a cement for use in a wellbore which comprises blast furnace slag containing particle sizes up to 100 micrometers (column 3, lines 40-42), clay such as

Art Unit: 1712

bentonite (column 5, lines 14-20), and accelerators within the scope of the present invention (column 6, lines 19-42). The cement also contains Portland cement which would act as an activator in the present invention (column 3, lines 50-57).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712